

Rule Change Requests for 2016

Posted by Sterling Doc - 12 Oct 2015 19:03

OK guys, time to hear out RCR's for next years rules. We'll keep this open through the end of the month, and then get the new rules, if any, hashed out.

As always, please bear in mind that rules changes need to be cost effective to existing cars, as well as new builds, and the burden of proof is on why the new rule is needed, not justifying the existing rule - rules stability is key here!

Stay tuned for a big announcement in about a week, as well!

=====

Re: Rule Change Requests for 2016

Posted by rd7839 - 09 Nov 2015 07:25

See, that's why we don't want to allow short shifters. The parts and prices just keep going up! We were talking about one specific manufacture but now you want more. The stock shifter can be fixed like the 44only part for just a few dollars.

=====

Re: Rule Change Requests for 2016

Posted by rd7839 - 09 Nov 2015 07:29

I'm with Charlie, dme's are cheap from the junkyard, except the 88. To complain about costs of a dme while being ok with wheels and short shifters seems a little strange. We need to keep this a SPEC class and not an open class with a lot of options. Again, 944 cup has all these options in their rules and we don't want to become them with their costs.

Ron

=====

Re: Rule Change Requests for 2016

Posted by danl4444 - 09 Nov 2015 07:34

I agree with Jeremy. You would almost have to outlaw all the '88 stuff to achieve true equality.

=====

Re: Rule Change Requests for 2016

Posted by FDJeremy - 09 Nov 2015 07:34

rd7839 wrote:

See, that's why we don't want to allow short shifters. The parts and prices just keep going up! We were talking about one specific manufacture but now you want more. The stock shifter can be fixed like the 44only part for just a few dollars.

How do they keep going up? The price is still \$90. Cheap insurance against a blown motor.

=====

Re: Rule Change Requests for 2016

Posted by rd7839 - 09 Nov 2015 07:37

The part Alberto proposed is \$130.

=====